

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Title of Publication: Flower S/Shower 2 Disc Six

Other Known Title: Swallow My Squirt

Format: DVD

Director/Author: William H.

Producer/Publisher: Not Stated

Country of Origin: United States

Language: English

Applicant: The District Court at North Shore

Distributor: Not Applicable

Classification:	Objectionable.
------------------------	----------------

Descriptive Note: Not Applicable

Display Conditions: Not Applicable

Date of entry in Register: 10 March 2008

Date of direction to issue a label: Not Applicable

OFLC No: 800039

Unexcised version of publication:

	Components	Running time
Menu:	Play Movie Also Available Scene Selection Slide Show Webtrailer	
Feature:	Flower S/Shower 2 Disc Six	112.57

Other timed components:	Sunset Media / Metabolic Notices	1.21
	Güfa Notice	2.18
	Sperm Swappers	2.13
	I Love It Black 2	0.34
	Cum Craving Teens 2	2.08
	Slide Show	0.44
Total running time:		122.15

Excisions: Not Applicable

Reasons for excisions:

Not Applicable

**Summary of reasons
for classification:**

The publication entitled *Flower S/Shower 2 Disc Six* is classified as:
Objectionable.

The publication is a DVD comprising, primarily, a sexually explicit feature with an overt focus on women who can emit large quantities of fluid from their genitals during stimulation. The participants in the activity play with, wipe, lick, and express admiration for the fluid, which because of the volume presents as urine. Given that this behaviour is presented within a publication designed for the sexual arousal of adults, the publication clearly validates this conduct as being arousing. Consequently the publication promotes and supports the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.

The Classification Office has considered the effects of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 on the application of the classification criteria. The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression, but this is an outcome that is consistent with Parliament's intention that publications falling under s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act are deemed to be objectionable.